## December 14, 2018

The Honorable Ajit Pai, Chairman
The Honorable Michael O'Rielly, Commissioner
The Honorable Brendan Carr, Commissioner
The Honorable Jessica Rosenworcel, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
455 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Southwest
Washington, DC, 20544

RE: MB Docket No 05-311 In the Matter of Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as Amended by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992

I am writing in support of the Comments of the Cable Act Preservation Alliance ("CAPA") in the Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making. I oppose the tentative conclusions the FCC reaches in the proceeding because of their impacts on our community.

It is with great surprise that I have learned about the possible changing of the law regarding public access channels. The Telecommunications Board of Northern Kentucky (TBNK) does a tremendous job of informing the public in many areas particularly government related topics. They broadcast and replay most all local government meetings. Please be reminded our legislative branch and only the legislative branch MAKES the laws, not government agencies. As a local elected government office holder, I request on behalf of my constituents to not fix the present system as it is NOT broke.

By allowing cable operators to reduce franchise fee support for local communities if they decide to keep local PEG channels (which have been understood for decades, under cable law, to be a negotiated obligation of franchise agreements, separate of franchise fees,) the FNPRM diminishes the ability of PEG channels to serve communities such as ours, and very likely eliminates the PEG channels in most communities due to the resultant loss of fees that most cities will not be able to afford. I ask that the FNRPM not be adopted. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlie Coleman, Campbell County Commissioner - Campbell County, Kentucky